

To: Attorney Tracy Kennedy, SBN 150782. Firm: Sheppard-Mullin. Phone: 213-617-4249 (unconfirmed).

Sheppard-Mullin, a law firm in California, has requested that I respond regarding a specific issue related to State Farm. However, Tracy Kennedy, the lead attorney on that side, declines to confirm receipt and reading of correspondence. Therefore, this letter may be sent to multiple parties in the hopes that it may reach this person. It will also be posted online at robin ooley dot org and state farm dot meme.

The fact that multiple copies may be sent is Tracy Kennedy's responsibility. Concerns related to the unprofessional behavior of this attorney which necessitates broader communication should be directed to Ms. Kennedy. Forward this document to her if you're able to do so.

First, to correct an error of fact, I do not yet have "multiple websites" related to parties represented by Sheppard-Mullin. Instead, I have multiple Internet domains related to such parties. As things proceed, the difference between Internet domains and websites should be kept in mind.

Attorneys, note that the use of the Internet domains in question qualifies for such protections for domain name registrants as are offered by "ACPA", aka Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125.

As of the date of writing, July 01, 2024, I think that I have what works out to (a) one website related to the State Farm situation and (b) one website related to underlying legal cases that led to the State Farm situation. The two websites are each accessible using multiple domains. Examples: statefarm.meme and gagorder.legal

In the future, the two websites may be reworked to form a single website with different domain names pointing to different areas.

Moving on, Sheppard-Mullin is representing one and only one person in the context of the "SSN" issue. I.e.: The so-called "various individuals" consist of attorney C. Long and one Sheppard-Mullin client, Robin Ooley aka Banks aka Deleuze. I think that Robin uses about half a dozen names total.

I presently plan to disregard most issues related to attorney C. Long that Sheppard-Mullin raises unless Ms. Long herself comments or she sets up representation with Sheppard-Mullin.

Regarding the "SSN" issue: There is a strong indication that the single alleged posted SSN that is supposedly associated with a Sheppard-Mullin client isn't legitimate and may, in fact, be indicative of Social Security fraud. It appears that it is \*not\* the SSN of a single individual, but is associated instead with a group of four individuals. Three of whom are related either by marriage or as biological family:

10/09/2017)  
Name: [ROBIN BANKS OOLEY](#) (11/09/2016 to 11/09/2016)  
Name: [ROBERT O JOHNSON](#) (06/13/1996 to 06/13/1996)  
Date of Birth: **04/17/1964**, Born **59** years ago  
SSN: **305-58-7408** issued in **INDIANA** between **1967-1968**  
SSN: **XXX-XX-6487**  
  
Other Individuals Observed with shared SSN:  
[JUANA M ALVAREZ](#) **XXX-XX-7408**  
**04/17/1964 (59)**  
[RONNIE DEAN BANKS](#) **XXX-XX-7408**  
**04/07/1961 (63)**  
[RYAN J DELEUZE](#) **XXX-XX-7408**  
**04/17/1964 (59)**

Robin Banks Ooley Deleuze is related by marriage and/or blood to the two men listed below. There is no confirmation of relationship to Juana Alvarez.

It happens that SSNs are assigned by error at times to two unrelated individuals. It's unusual that this would happen with three closely related individuals.

Errors such as SSNs that are assigned to two unrelated individuals do occur. However, the issue observed here is unusual. If it isn't a mistake at intermediate levels, I believe that the string "305-58-7408" may not qualify as a

legitimate SSN that is covered by the CA Law which was cited by Sheppard-Mullin. Once again, the string doesn't identify an individual and fraud may be involved as well.

I've proceeded in good faith and in compliance with the Law as I understand it. I'll certainly comply with any Law that is confirmed to be applicable.

Sheppard-Mullin and/or other parties are respectfully invited to respond to the preceding. If I'm contacted by Law Enforcement or in any other venue, I will of course provide evidence of good faith on my part and of possible Social Security fraud on the part of Sheppard-Mullin's client.

On a separate but related note, a respectful pre-demand letter may be delivered to Michael Tipsord in the short term at one or both of the following street addresses:

9290 Marble Stone Drive, Naples, FL 34120  
2 Windsong Way, Bloomington, IL 61704

It should be noted that Mr. Tipsord seems to have involved himself personally in the current matter. It is indisputable, for example, that Tipsord's General Counsel Steve McManus has done so and this could be established under oath. McManus isn't believed to be acting without Tipsord's knowledge. However, it's more significant that an Illinois DOI investigator has alleged directly to me that Mr. Tipsord interfered in an investigation in that State. I'd certainly be able to testify under oath to the fact that I've proceeded in good faith based on what I was told by the investigator.

And Frannie Trana does seem to have been ordered not to proceed with an internal investigation. It's believed that Michael Tipsord is acquainted with Ms. Trana and that she may even report to him.

In short, statements to the effect that communication with the CEO is effrontery aren't going to fly. It's my feeling that the current matter is, instead, "60 Minutes" material.

It would be preferable to coordinate delivery of a pre-demand letter with Mr. Tipsord's schedule. Politeness and professional conduct on the part of Ms. Kennedy would be helpful. These qualities haven't been observed in abundance, but they're requested regardless.

Technical note: The properties at the two street addresses in question are part of a larger group that is owned by Tipsord's "CAR-102" trust. It appears that the trust includes Tipsord's sons as well as Tipsord and this complicates legal research. However, most of the properties in the group are believed to be investments. The two in question are said to stand out for various reasons, including the registration of a Harley-Davidson at the Naples, FL address.

As I've said elsewhere, it seems odd that a CEO who's based in Illinois and in his mid-60s as well would be gallivanting around Florida on a Harley. I'm pretty much Michael's age, one year older, and I wouldn't attempt this myself. I figure that the Harley might be a gift to one of the sons and that Michael isn't actually whooshing down the highway on it. However, I'd thought that DMV registration was legally supposed to be in the name of the actual owner.

Say, did I mention that I've been a faithful customer in good standing of State Farm for 50 of my 66 years? I'd expected to receive a Hallmark card or something this year which said, "Thanks for spending your entire adult life with State Farm". I didn't expect to receive what amounted not only to a rape instead but one that is silly on the face of it and likely to prove embarrassing to the parties involved. It's supposed to be, "Like a Good Neighbor, State Farm is There". Not "Like a Hooded Predator, of State Farm Beware".

Regards, Robert (the Old Coder)